	NOTICION NOTICION	Sic.
Agent	NA	ale
FL	ORIDA	1
gru	ORIDA	4



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775543 DA	TE: <u>4/15/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:40 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:20 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: SA	WGRASS QUARRY-RELOC RO	OCK CRUSHER	
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 14005 NW 186TH ST		
	HIALEAH 33018-6451		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email:	D REPRESENTATIVE: TIM H	FOX PHONE: Mobile:	(561)790-6467 (561)602-2484
CONTACT NAME: C Email:		PHONE: Mobile:	(305)829-0700
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 11/16/2008 / 11/16/201 (effective date) (end date)	3	

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTROD</u>	UCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			

1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>TIM FOX</u>		1)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still TIM FOX?	X Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still OSVALDO FLORES?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable w/diesel power, 300 t/hr</u>

Ē

	(check \square only one
	box for each question)
Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mir {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of wh is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Do Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common O (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, in and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlia (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	nich the majority olomite, Granite, l; (2) Sand and Gravel; Clay; (4) Rock Salt; e, Sodium Chloride, cluding Borax, Kernite,
1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant	
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? 2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	XesNo XesNo
 3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? 4. Is the EU one of the following? 	YesNo
 □ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a build air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 	n,
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.	
If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question	5.
 Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process 	
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes 🖾No
 capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes 🖾No
 a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ? 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or 	YesNo
equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes XNo

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or			
belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Vac	\square No
<i>(Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		Yes	⊠No
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ad a		
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia			
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet			
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	🖾No
<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	🖾No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_		_
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ц	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Ц	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Ц	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15 If the FU is a building analoging any other regulated FUs and all analoged FUs are not			
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No No
<i>A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i>			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No

|--|

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);	_	
\square follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or	C	
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17.If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		NO
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		_
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	—	
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	🖾No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? 🕅 N/A	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	□No □No

<u>1 -- NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable w/diesel power, 300 t/hr</u>

individually in compliance with em	issions limits:	and all enclosed EUs are not			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed				—	
initial startup of the EU?			I/A	Yes	No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compli				Yes	L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	No
B. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EU?			Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measu					
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device r		manufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measu					□ .·
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device r		manufacturer to be accurate wit	nın +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
. When was the last VE test conduct	ad by the owner/onerg	tor for this FU? 12/21/2010			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			ugoro?	Yes	□No
		U been tested within the past 3	years?	103	(
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	t 000:	-	-	_	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar i. has the EU been tested during	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		_	
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar i. has the EU been tested during ii. has the EU been tested yet w 	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda	ndar years? Ir year?		Yes	No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar i. has the EU been tested during ii. has the EU been tested yet w 	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda mer/operator for this u	ndar years? rr year? nit during this site visit?		Yes Yes	No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe	ndar years? Ir year? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		 Yes Yes Yes 	No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test of the test of test of	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe	ndar years? Ir year? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		 Yes Yes Yes 	No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test of	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this un ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si	ndar years? ur year? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average.		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□No □No □No □No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test of	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this un ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si	ndar years? ur year? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average.		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□No □No □No □No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this us occess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si bliance with the opacity	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Xes Xes 	No No No No No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test of	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this us ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si bliance with the opacity	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit?		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Xes Yes Yes Yes 	No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this us ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si bliance with the opacity	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit?		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Xes Yes Yes Yes 	No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest si obliance with the opacity <i>spector</i> for this unit due ocess rate that is represe	ndar years? ir year? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□Ne □Ne □Ne □Ne □Ne □Ne
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest si obliance with the opacity <i>spector</i> for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? -	ndar years? ir year? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Xes Yes Yes Yes 	□Ne □Ne □Ne □Ne □Ne □Ne
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the interventint	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda mer/operator for this un ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest si bliance with the opacity spector for this unit du ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the test of test of the test of test of	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda mer/operator for this un ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest si bliance with the opacity spector for this unit du ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□No □No □No □No □No □No □No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this un ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si obliance with the opacity <i>spector</i> for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of% for the high oliance with the opacity	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda mer/operator for this un ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si obliance with the opacity spector for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of% for the high obliance with the opacity <i>VE Opac</i>	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		 Yes 	No No No No No No No No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si bliance with the opacity <i>spector</i> for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity <i>VE Opac</i> EU not subject to	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) <i>ity Limits</i> Subpart OOO EU	 Subpart	 Yes 	No No No No No No No No No No No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest si obliance with the opacity <i>spector</i> for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of% for the high obliance with the opacity $\underline{VE Opac}$ EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) <i>ity Limits</i> Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	 	 Yes 	No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of <u>0</u> % for the highest si bliance with the opacity <i>spector</i> for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? of% for the high bliance with the opacity <i>VE Opac</i> EU not subject to	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) <i>ity Limits</i> Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart construe or recor	 Yes 	No No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda mer/operator for this un ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of <u>0</u> % for the highest si obliance with the opacity spector for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of% for the high oliance with the opacity <u>VE Opac</u> EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) <i>ity Limits</i> Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	 	 Yes Zes Yes Yes 	No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No
 b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subparting the intervention of the intervention	t OOO: g each of the past 4 cale ithin the current calenda <i>mer/operator</i> for this up ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest si obliance with the opacity <i>spector</i> for this unit due ocess rate that is represe ling to EPA Method 9? - of% for the high obliance with the opacity $\underline{VE Opac}$ EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	ndar years? nit during this site visit? entative of the normal rate? x-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) <i>ity Limits</i> Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart construe or recor	 Yes 	No No

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Graniu Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.]	ty ie, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No □No □No □No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
6. 7.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes ☐ Yes 	⊠No □No □No □No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or			
belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	⊠No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>			
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed		
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet			
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	🖾No
grinding him of storage on in the production me.		105	
<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
Konserver te som ef the sin Ouestiens 5, 10, shows is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
11. When was the EC fast constructed, mounted, of reconstructed.			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
12. Was the De constructed, modified, of reconstructed on of after 4/22/2000.		105	
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
i guesuon 12 is 110° sup ine jouoning quesuons una go un eeu jo Quesuon 20			
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
		100	
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Н	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Н	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Н	Yes	No
a. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 770 opacity.		105	
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		100	
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	H	Yes	=
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	H	Yes	∐No ∏No
a. were finitial lugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?		105	NO

2 -NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17.If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	No
	_	_
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	
instructions?	- 🗌 Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and by a davide for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	2	
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
or design service inquire now rate.		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	—	—
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \Box N/A	Yes	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	∐No ∏No
a. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 770 opacity?	168	

d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			[Yes	No
b. Was the VE test conducted accordc. The VE test resulted in an opacity			L	Yes	No
Rate:	_		_	_	_
5. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i> a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-				Yes Yes	⊠No □No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	L	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			_
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -		Г	Yes	□No
5. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ow</i> . a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes Yes	⊠No □No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?	[Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested during	geach of the past 4 cale			Yes	No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 sb. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	No
When was the last VE test conducte					
of design scrubbing liquid flow	2				
device has been calibrated on ar {Note: The monitoring device n				Yes	No
b. a device for the continuous measure				- • •	—
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre and	ssure. }				
{Note: The monitoring device n	nust be certified by the 1		_		
scrubber and the device has been instructions?				Yes	No
a. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the pressure lo				
B. Is a wet scrubber used to control en If yes, does the owner/operator mainta			L	Yes	No
-	• •				_
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance.c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes Yes	No
one or more affected EUs.}	an an arith the DM limit	- f 0 05 - / laser (0 022 - m/ lase)	. Г	Vee	
purpose of exhausting from a building					
<i>{A "vent" is any opening through wh</i>		\square N induced air flow for the	V/A L	Yes	
initial startup of the EU?		ol device within 180 days of			

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? 	X Yes	🗌 No
	 If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
	 areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A 	⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? X/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
1.	 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	Yes	auestion)
2.	Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) of Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	or	XNo
	 b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		XNo

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes]No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes]No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes]No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes]No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes]No
<u>(</u> 27) gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ? 75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?]No

Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	No
-	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 		No
з.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		DNo

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		⊠No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? --X..No Yes 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ------Yes ...No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? ------ Yes X..No b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? -----X..No Yes c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? -----X..No Yes d) A change in ownership? ------ T Yes ..No 4. If the answer to any question 3a. - d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ----- Yes ...No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

4/2012

Inspector's Signature

COMMENTS: THE CRUSHER WAS NOT OPERATIONAL TODAY. IT IS USED TO CRUSH RECYCLED ASPHALT PRODUCTS (RAP). A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED BY WILLIAM ARLINGTON ON 12/21/2010.

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4/15/2011